

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/206,782	12/07/1998	MICHAEL R. PEEVEY	32277.0100	5956
20322	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 EAST VAN BUREN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001			3621	
		:	DATE MAILED: 00/00/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/206,782	PEEVEY, MICHAEL R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cristina Owen Sherr	3621			
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS fi - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. TO THIS COMMUNIC	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this ap	plication is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3.	6,8 and 34 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ove claim(s) is/are withdrav	•				
5) Claim(s)						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,</u>	6,8 and 34 is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specifica	tion is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may	not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or d	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgm	nent is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	Some * c)□ None of:					
1.☐ Certifie						
2.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
Copies	of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	ation from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attach	ed detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References 2) Notice of Draftspersor	Cited (PTO-892) o's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

, A

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed June 17, 2005. Claims 1 and 34 have been amended. Claims 4-5, 7, 9-33, and 35-39 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 are pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budike, Jr. et al (US 6,122,603).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, 34 -

Budike discloses a method for providing utility consumption data to a utility consumer over a network (e.g. col 1 ln 12-28), the method comprising the steps of: receiving metering data and operational status information-from a utility meter located at a remote facility operated by said utility customer (e.g. col 28 ln 45-60), wherein said metering data is electronically and automatically transmitted over the network in substantially real time from said utility meter to a data processing system (e.g. col 8 ln

system (e.g. abstract); and

Art Unit: 3621

30-45); calculating billing data using said metering data at said data processing system, wherein said calculating step calculates said billing data using:

- (a) generation costs, (e.g. col 10 ln 10-25)
- (b) transmission costs (e.g. col 10 ln 10-25), and

(c) distribution costs of said utility (e.g. col 10 ln 20-30);

storing said metering data and said billing data electronically at said data processing

reporting said metering data and said billing data electronically from said data processing system to said utility customer over the network, said reporting step including the steps of providing a website on the network accessible by said utility customer; retrieving said metering data and said billing data from said database; and

presenting said metering data and said billing data on said website (e.g. col 7 ln 35-50).

- 6. Budike does not discloses allowing said consumer to affect electronic funds transfer via a payment system in accordance with said billing data, however, payment through internet or other network is well-known in the art, and it would be obvious to a practitioner of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Budike to include online payment in order to obtain a more user friendly and economical form of monitoring and paying for utility costs.
- 7. regarding claim 2 –

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said receiving step further comprises the step of polling said meter using an electronic communication medium (e.g. col 7 ln 50-col 8 ln 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/206,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

8. Regarding claim 3 –

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 2, wherein said polling step polls said meter using a toll-free telephone line (see e.g. col 7 ln 45-50), where a tool-free telephone line is not specifically disclosed, however, different networks are. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Budike to include a toll free telephone line.

9. Regarding claim 6 -

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said receiving step receives metering data from said meter wherein said meter is suitably configured with a modem for connecting to an electronic data line (e.g. col 7 In 45-50).

10. Regarding claim 8 –

Budike discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said storing step stores said metering data and said billing data in a computer database (e.g. abstract)

11. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3621

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

- 13. Collins et al (US 6,553,418B1) disclose an energy information and control system.
- 14. Uggerud et al (US 6,529,839B1) discloses an energy coordination system.
- 15. Pitchford et al (US 6,327,541B1) discloses an electronic energy management system.
- 16. Sneeringer (US 6,618,709) discloses computer assisted and/or implemented process and architecture for web-based monitoring of energy related usage, and client accessibility therefor.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

frame book
